



Rheumatology Research Foundation

Advancing Treatment | Finding Cures

Innovative Research Award (IRA)

The mission of the Rheumatology Research Foundation is to advance research and training to improve the health of people with rheumatic diseases.

Purpose: Supporting innovative research ideas is essential to better understand rheumatic diseases, their causes, and the best way to treat them. The Innovative Research Award provides independent academic investigators with the funding they need to pursue ideas that could lead to important breakthroughs in discovering new treatments and, one day, a cure for rheumatic diseases. This award provides essential support for innovative studies focused on generating new insights into the cause, progression, treatment, and outcomes of rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases.

Award Amount: \$200,000 per year (maximum \$400,000)

Award Duration: 2 Years

We require a [letter of intent](#) to be submitted no later than July 15, 2025. A subset of proposed projects will be invited to submit full applications.

All applications must be submitted by 5:00 pm ET on the deadline day through Proposal Central at: [Proposal Central](#).

If you have any questions about your eligibility or submitting your application, please contact Award & Grants staff at 404-365-1373 or Foundation@rheumatology.org.

Application Opens
June 1, 2025
Letter of Intent Deadline
July 15, 2025
Application Deadline
November 3, 2025
Notification
April 1, 2026
Award Term Begins
July 1, 2026

Award Eligibility and Guidelines

All award recipients must abide by Foundation [Awards and Grants Policies](#) at all times.

Eligibility: To be eligible for this award, the applicant must:

- Be a member of the [ACR](#) or [ARP](#) at the time of submission and for the duration of the award
- Meet all eligibility requirements as outlined in the Awards and Grants policies.
- Hold a doctoral-level degree (MD, PhD, ScD, DO, DPT, MBBS or equivalent).
- Have a faculty appointment (e.g. assistant professor) at a U.S. academic center or research institution at the time of application and for the duration of the award.
- Must exhibit evidence of research independence, scientific productivity, and career accomplishments.
- Submit a suitable FTE percentage based on the reported grant support(s): Current, Other, and Pending. It is expected that PI effort will accurately reflect the time spent working on the project.
- The award allows for the option of a Co-PI. If a Co-PI is added to the application, all eligibility requirements must be met by the Co-PI. The PI and Co-PI must state their FTE percentages.

Please Note:

- Principal Investigator(s) may not apply for more than one Foundation Innovative Research Award per cycle.
- Principal Investigator(s) may not hold two active Foundation grants simultaneously unless the grants are for two distinct purposes (ex. Fellowship Training Award and Innovative Research Award).
- Two projects are not eligible for concurrent funding under the same mechanism (ex. Individual may not have two different Innovative Research Awards at the same time).
- The Foundation does not allow resubmission of grant applications. This means formal responses to the previous year's critiques will not be accepted. Applicants may apply as many times as they wish; however, all grant applications will be reviewed as new applications. Although application will not be reviewed as a resubmission, it is highly encouraged that applicant thoroughly consider and implement prior reviewer critique when submitting for another cycle.
- Scientific and/or budgetary overlap between Foundation grants and other funding sources (including NIH, VA, or other foundations) is not permitted.
- Individuals employed at the NIH, FDA, or CDC are not eligible to apply.
- Investigators from outside the United States may serve as co-investigators with the PI who is based in the U.S. and who may sub-contract grant support to co-investigators.

Investigators interested in using data from the ACR's RISE registry as part of their proposed research project need to get their data use request approved before applying for funding. Please visit [RISE for Research](#) for more information on RISE data. RISE data requests should be submitted at least 2 months prior to the Foundation's application deadline.

Patient and community engagement can enhance the quality of research questions being asked and the ability of investigators to get answers. Therefore, the Foundation strongly encourages scientists of all levels to collaborate whenever possible with the people who will participate in and benefit from their studies. This applies not only to patient-oriented research, but also to studies relying on clinical or observational datasets or other resources.

If you have questions about your eligibility, please inquire by email to foundation@rheumatology.org or 404-365-1373 before preparing your application.

Foundation Strategic Plan

The Foundation seeks to support the best basic, translational, clinical, outcomes, implementation research in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. Applications directly addressing the current [Strategic Plan](#) are particularly encouraged.

Review Criteria

Reviewers will be asked to evaluate applications based on the likelihood that the proposed research will have a substantial impact on the mission of the Foundation. The scientific peer review group will address and consider each of the following criteria in assigning the application's overall score:

- **Novelty:** Does the project employ novel concepts, approaches, or methods? Are the aims original and innovative?
- **Investigator:** Is the investigator appropriately trained and well suited to carry out the planned studies? If the investigator is in the early stages of an independent career, do they have appropriate experience and training? If established, has the investigator demonstrated an ongoing record of accomplishments that has advanced the field? If the investigator does not have specific experience in the topic of the proposal, are there appropriate collaborative arrangements with experts in this area detailed in the proposal?
- **Relevance to Foundation's mission:** Is the proposed project relevant to the Foundation's mission and applicable to the [strategic plan](#)?
- **Feasibility:** Does the scientific environment in which the work will be performed contribute to the probability of success? Do the experiments proposed take advantage of unique features of the scientific environment or employ useful collaborative arrangements? Is there evidence of institutional support? Is the proposed research feasible within the term of the award?
- **Methods:** Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated and appropriate to the aims of the project? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative tactics?
- **Potential impact on the field:** Does the project challenge existing paradigms or develop new methodologies or technologies?

Award Terms and Funding

Grants will be awarded for a two-year period and are not renewable. Funding for the two-year period will be up to \$400,000 total. Financial support will be provided as outlined below:

Year 1	Amount	Year 2	Amount
July 2026	\$100,000	July 2027	\$100,000
January 2027	\$100,000	January 2028	\$100,000

Salary: The Foundation operates under the same [salary cap restrictions](#) as the NIH.

Indirect Costs: Indirect costs cannot exceed **8%** of the total direct costs. This includes any indirect costs in line with consortium/contractual costs.

Unexpended Funds: All unexpended funds must be returned to the Foundation at the close of the award term. Requests for no-cost extensions will be considered on an individual basis and granted or denied at the discretion of the Foundation Scientific Advisory Council.

ORCID provides a persistent digital identifier (an ORCID ID) that you own and control, and that distinguishes you from every other researcher. Applicants are required to connect their ORCID Identification Number with ProposalCentral at the time of application. If the applicant does not have an ORCID Identification Number, one should be created and connected to the application prior to submission. If selected for funding, the applicant will be required to “Trust” the Rheumatology Research Foundation as an organization to optimize tracking of project metrics. For instructions on how to link your ORCID ID with your application in ProposalCentral, visit [this link](#) and scroll to page 35.

Award Requirements

Awards and Grants Policies: All award recipients must abide by Foundation awards and grants policies at all times. A list of [current policies](#) is available online.

Investigator Meetings: The Foundation sponsors an annual Investigators Meeting where all current investigators share progress updates on their funded projects. **Attendance is required for all funded investigators both years. In the instance of co-PIs, at least one PI is required to attend.** Failure to participate may result in grant cancellation. Travel costs to attend this meeting must be included as a line item in the grant budget.

Progress Report: The recipient must provide the Foundation with a progress report identifying progress towards the aims of the proposal. Format and exact due date of all reports will be provided at least 30 days prior to the due date. Failure to submit required reports by the deadline may result in institutional penalties, including funding delays and/or grant cancellation. Failure to comply may also affect the awardee and sponsoring institution’s eligibility to receive future Foundation funding.

Final Report: At the close of the award term, the recipient must provide the Foundation with a final report describing completion of applicable deliverables. In addition, the final report must contain a final financial reconciliation by cost category indicating how funds were allocated and whether any unexpended funds remain. This financial report should be prepared by your Grants and Contracts/Sponsored Projects Office and attached to the final report. In accordance with Foundation policy, failure to submit required reports by the deadline may hinder award recipient’s eligibility to receive future Foundation funding.

Award Evaluations: To help the Foundation track the outcomes and impact of all awards, recipients may be asked to complete periodic online evaluations. This information will be vital to help improve and modify the existing award structure for future recipients.

Letter of Intent

To make optimal use of the time and resources of both Investigators and the Foundation, a competitive letter of intent (LOI) is required for all Innovative Research Awards as part of a pre-application. LOI materials should be submitted via Proposal Central by 5:00 pm ET on July 15, 2025.

Each LOI will be BLINDED and assessed based on (1) overall merit, (2) innovation, (3) potential impact, and (4) relevance to the Foundation's mission.

Prior to starting an LOI submission, it is recommended to review the full Request for Applications, with specific focus on award eligibility and terms.

LOI Instructions

LOI submissions should be no longer than one page and include the information below. **Note that all LOIs will be reviewed blinded, so please do not include any identifying factors within your uploaded submission.** We ask that you do not include figures, hyperlinks, or QR codes within your LOI submission. LOI submission must be saved as a PDF with ½ inch margins, 11-point Arial Font, and single-spacing.

- Project Title
- Background – A brief introduction to the problem to be solved by the proposal including the rationale for the research question.
- Specific Aims including hypothesis(es) to be tested.
- Statement of Innovation – Explain the conceptual and/or technical innovation the proposal will bring to bear on the research problem
- Statement of Relevance - Explain how the proposed research fulfills the purpose of the RFA and its potential to impact the Foundation's mission to benefit patients.

A subset of proposed projects (dependent on quality and total number of submissions) will be invited to submit full applications. Applicants/PIs will be notified of LOI review decisions via email by September 1, 2025. Full applications will be due November 3, 2025. If you have questions regarding LOI submissions, reach out to foundation@rheumatology.org

Application Instructions

You will be required to create a Professional Profile in ProposalCentral before starting the online application. To do so, visit [this link](#) to begin creating your account.

All files must be saved as a PDF. Documents that do not have a required template should be formatted with ½ inch margins, 11-point Arial Font, and single-spacing (does not apply to figures and tables). All applications and documents must be written in English and avoid use of jargon. If terms are not universally known, spell out the term the first time it is used and note the appropriate abbreviation in parentheses. The acronym may be used thereafter.

Note: The Foundation does not require an official signature from an authorized institutional research office at the time of application. However, many institutions require an application to be reviewed prior to submission. Please check with your research office for your institution's requirements. Institutional signature will be required as a part of the Conditions of Award document if selected for funding.

Applicant/Principal Investigator(s)

You will be asked to confirm your eligibility and provide contact information in this section. In addition to the applicant information requested above, you will be asked to upload the following document:

Applicant's Biosketch in NIH Format

Limit to 5 pages; should include any pending support and follow NIH guidelines. Download NIH format Biographical Sketch template by clicking [here](#).

Abstract/Project Information

The information in this section is general information about the grant submission.

If the application is funded, the following information will be entered into the Foundation database and will become public. Do not include proprietary or confidential information or trade secrets in the description section.

- **Project Title:** Do not exceed **200 characters**, including the spaces between words and punctuation.
- **Abstract (limit to 2000 characters including spaces):** This is meant to serve as a succinct and accurate description of the proposed work when considered separately from the application. State the broad, long-term objectives and specific aims, making reference to the project's relevance. Concisely describe the research design and methods for achieving the stated goals. This section should be informative to other people working in the same or related fields and, insofar as possible, understandable to a scientifically or technically literate reader. Avoid describing past accomplishments and the use of the first person.
- **Relevance to Foundation's Mission (1000 characters including spaces):** Briefly describe how your proposed project is relevant to the Foundation's mission to improve the health of people with rheumatic diseases.
- **Patient Impact (1500 characters including spaces):** What problem is your project aiming to solve for patients?
- **Keywords:** Choose three keywords that accurately describe the project. If the application focuses on a specific disease, please include it as a keyword.
- The following questions will be used to assign your application to the appropriate study section. Please select one or more of the options in the online application.
 - Primary Research Material
 - Study Section Selection
 - Primary Research Method/Area
 - Research Classification
 - Primary Biomedical/Health Research Category

Institution

Institutional information and contacts should be included in this section.

Performance Site(s): List the organization, city & state where the work will be conducted in the area provided. If there is more than one performance site, list all the sites, including Department of Veterans Affairs facilities and foreign sites, and provide an explanation in the resources section of the application.

Key Personnel/Other Significant Contributors

All Key Personnel and Other Significant Contributors should be listed in this section, including any Co-Principal Investigators. A biosketch in [NIH format](#) is required for each individual and may not exceed 5 pages. In the other support section of the PI's biosketch, please identify any grants (current or pending) that may overlap with this submission and how you plan to address this issue if funded (i.e., relinquish overlapping grant, etc.).

- **Key Personnel:** In addition to the PI(s), key personnel are defined as individuals who contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project in a substantive, measurable way, whether or not salaries are requested. Consultants should be included if they meet this definition. Key personnel must devote measurable effort to the project whether or not salaries are requested. Percent effort should be calculated based on a full-time 12-month calendar year appointment at the sponsoring institution. For each individual provide name, organization name, and role on the project.
- **Other Significant Contributors:** This category identifies individuals who have committed to contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project but are not committing any specified measurable effort to the project. These individuals are typically presented at "effort of zero" or "as needed." Individuals with measurable effort cannot be listed as other significant contributors. Consultants should be included if they meet this definition.

Budget & Justification

- The applicant should outline an itemized budget not to exceed a total of \$200,000 per budget period, including fringe. Please submit a suitable FTE percentage based on your reported support: Current, Other, and Pending. It is expected that PI effort will accurately reflect the time spent working on the project.
- If a Co-PI is added, please state the FTE percentages of both PIs. The budget sections include:

Direct Costs

- **Personnel Costs:**
Starting with the principal investigator(s), list the names of all applicant organization employees who are involved on the project during the budget period, regardless of whether a salary is requested. Include all collaborating investigators, individuals in training and support staff. Describe their specific functions in the budget justification.

The Foundation operates under the same [salary cap restrictions](#) as the NIH. An individual's institutional base salary is the annual compensation that the applicant organization pays for an individual's appointment, whether that individual's time is spent on research, teaching, patient care, or other activities. The total salary requested must be based on a full-time, 12-month calendar year appointment.

Individuals with joint university and VA appointments may request the university's share of their salary in proportion to the effort devoted to the research project. The individual's salary with the university determines the base for computing that request. If awarded, a signature must be provided by the institutional official who certifies that: (1) the individual is applying as part of a joint appointment specified by a formal Memorandum of Understanding between the university and the VA; and (2) there is no possibility of dual compensation for the same work, or of an actual or apparent conflict of interest regarding such work. Additional information may be requested by the awarding components.

- **Consultant/Contract costs:**
Include the number of days of anticipated consultation, the expected rate of compensation, travel, per diem, and other related costs. Describe the services to be performed in the budget justification.

➤ **Supplies and Expenses:**

Itemize supplies in separate categories. For example, for a basic science project, sample categories may be glassware, chemicals, radioisotopes, etc. For clinical, translational, or behavioral science projects, sample categories may be participant compensation, statistical software, interview transcription, etc. Categories in amounts less than \$1,000 do not have to be itemized. If animals are to be purchased, state the species and the number to be used.

➤ **Equipment:**

Equipment purchases up to \$10,000 are allowed. Only include individual items greater than \$5,000. Any items less than \$5,000 must be purchased under the “supplies” budget category.

➤ **Travel:**

Itemize all travel requests. Provide the purpose and destination of each trip and the number of individuals for whom funds are requested. All travel must be justified as to how it relates to the project. Travel must be included for one investigator associated with the project to attend the Foundation’s Annual Investigator’s Meeting.

➤ **Direct Consortium/Contractual Costs:**

List all Direct consortium/Contractual Costs with description. Consortium arrangements may involve personnel costs, supplies, and other allowable costs.

Indirect Costs:

All Indirect Costs associated with the Primary Institution and/or Consortium/Contractual agreements should be listed individually below with a brief description. Indirect costs are capped at 8 percent of the direct costs.

Budget Justification:

This section provides justification for each component included in the budget summary. At the very least, the budget justification should name each person to be supported by this grant, their percentage full time effort committed to the project every budget period, and their role in the project. This includes any “to-be-appointed” positions. You should also explain the need for consortium/contractual arrangements and indicate whether the collaborating institutions are foreign or domestic and why they are uniquely qualified to contribute. Descriptions of equipment, major supply items and project-related travel should also be included in the justification.

Current & Pending Support

Applicant should list all current and pending research support, including but not limited to grants and contracts. Indicate any current startup funds or institutional internal awards. For each entry, specify the overlap between the other awards, applications, and/or sources listed in this application.

Organization Assurances

Applicant assures the proposal complies with institutional guidelines provided by the sponsoring institution’s clinical and research review boards. All research proposals including human subjects, laboratory animals and recombinant DNA techniques must show documented compliance with institutional guidelines. Copies of approval notices by the institution’s IRB, IACUC and/or biosafety committees must be provided as appropriate once awarded.

Required Documents/Uploads

Research Proposal & Literature Cited

The research proposal should include enough information needed to evaluate the project, independent of any other document (e.g., appendix, supplemental materials, etc.). A response to prior reviews of the proposal may not be included in your application. Each application is treated as a new submission.

The research proposal cannot exceed 10 pages. All tables, graphs, figures, diagrams, and charts should be included within the 10-page limit.

While there is no character limit for Literature Cited, it is important to be concise and to select only those literature references pertinent to the proposed research.

Contents of Research Plan:

- A. **Specific Aims** (one page): List the broad, long-term objectives and the goal of the specific research proposed (e.g., to test a stated hypothesis, create a novel design, solve a specific problem, challenge an existing paradigm or clinical practice, address a critical barrier to progress in the field or develop new technology).
- B. **Background and Significance** (two pages are recommended):
 - Briefly describe the background leading to the present application, critically evaluate existing knowledge, and specifically identify the gaps that the project is intended to fill.
 - State concisely the importance and health relevance of the research described in this application by relating the specific aims to the broad, long-term objectives.
 - If the aims of the application are achieved, state how scientific knowledge or clinical practice will be advanced.
 - Describe the effect of these studies on the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field.
- C. **Preliminary Studies** (two pages are recommended): Provide an account of the PI's preliminary studies pertinent to this application, including their preliminary experience with and outreach to the proposed racial/ethnic group members (if applicable).
- D. **Research Design and Method** (five pages are recommended):
 - Describe the research design's conceptual or clinical framework, procedures, and analyses used to accomplish the project's specific aims.
 - Include how the data will be collected, analyzed and interpreted and the data-sharing plan as appropriate.
 - Describe any new methodology and its advantage over existing methodologies.
 - Describe any novel concepts, approaches, tools, or technologies for the proposed studies.

- Discuss the potential difficulties and limitations of the proposed procedures and alternative approaches to achieve the aims.
- Provide a tentative sequence or timetable for the project. Point out any procedures, situations or materials that may be hazardous to personnel and the precautions to be exercised.

E. **Literature Cited:** List all references. Each reference must include the title, names of all authors, book or journal, volume number, page numbers, and year of publication.

Human Subjects/Vertebrate Animals Research

Although no specific character limit applies to this section of the application, be succinct.

Human Subjects Research: The following human subject information applies even if you are obtaining specimens from collaborators or if you are subcontracting the human research to another organization.

- Provide evidence that the investigators (or subcontractors) have current training in the protection of human subjects.
- Provide a detailed description of the proposed methods of identification, contact, and recruitment of potential research participants.
- Justify the number of subjects to be included.
- Clearly identify study-related risks, including privacy risks associated with identification and recruitment and participating in the research.
- Identify steps that will be taken to protect participant privacy and confidentiality.
- Identify steps that will be taken to minimize exposure to risks associated with study procedures.
- Provide a description of the specific inclusion/exclusion of women, minorities, and children, and a justification for the inclusion plan.

F. **Vertebrate Animals:** This section must be completed if research involving vertebrate animals will take place.

- Provide a detailed description of the proposed use of the animals in the work outlined in the research design and methods section. Identify the species, strains, ages, sex, and numbers of animals to be used in the proposed work.
- Justify the use of animals, the choice of species, and the numbers to be used. If animals are in short supply, costly or to be used in large numbers, provide an additional rationale for their selection and numbers.
- Provide information on the veterinary care of the animals involved.

- Describe the procedures for ensuring that discomfort, distress, pain and injury will be limited to that which is unavoidable in the conduct of scientifically sound research. Describe the use of analgesic, anesthetic, tranquilizing drugs and/or comfortable restraining devices, where appropriate, to minimize discomfort, distress, pain and injury.
- Describe any method of euthanasia to be used and the reasons for its selection. State whether this method is consistent with the recommendations of the Panel on Euthanasia of the American Veterinary Medical Association. If not, present a justification for not following the recommendations.

Consortium/Contractual Arrangements

If applicable, explain the programmatic, fiscal and administrative arrangements to be made between the applicant organization and the consortium organization(s). If consortium/contractual activities represent a significant portion of the overall project, explain why the applicant organization, rather than the ultimate performer of the activities, should be the grantee.

Attach appropriate letters of support here from all paid consultants confirming their roles in the project and rate/charge for consulting services. Do not place these letters in the appendix.

Resource Sharing Plan

Describe the resource sharing plan and how you will share results, reagents, and/or data derived from this project. When resources have been developed with Foundation funds and the associated research findings published or provided to the Foundation, it is important that they be made readily available for research purposes to qualified individuals within the scientific community. The Foundation resource sharing policy is available [online](#) and plans to follow this should be detailed in this section. Applications that do not contain an appropriate resource sharing plan will be returned without review. Although no specific character limit applies to this section of the application, be succinct.

Benchmarks for Success

Download, complete and submit the Benchmarks of Success template. See example of the template below.

Applications should include a list of milestones (expected status of the project at various points in time). These milestones will be used to evaluate progress and to facilitate communication between PIs and the Foundation Scientific Advisory Council. The milestones should reflect the specific aims of the proposal and be presented within the context of a pathway for determining or evaluating a potential target for treatment.

Resources

Specify the facilities (including laboratory, clinical, animal, computer, office and other) for the proposed research. Indicate the performance sites and describe capacities, pertinent capabilities, relative proximity and extent of availability to the project. If there are multiple performance sites, the resources available at each site should be described. In describing the scientific environment in which the work will be done, discuss ways in which the proposed studies will benefit from unique features of the scientific environment, or subject populations, or employ useful collaborative arrangements.

Appendix

The appendix may not be more than **10 pages** in length. The appendix may not be used to circumvent the page limitations of the research plan. The research plan must be self-contained and understandable without having to refer to the appendix. While the font requirements imposed in the rest of the application do not apply to the appendix, all material must be clearly legible. Items to be included in the appendix include but are not limited to the following:

- **Letters of Collaboration:** Letters of support and/or commitment from collaborators stating they will provide research resources, etc. as proposed in the application. Letters from paid consultants must be included in Part H of the research plan.
- **Supporting Materials:** relevant publications, or manuscripts not already publicly available.

Benchmarks for Success The benchmarks (expected status of the project at various points in time) included in your original application will be used to evaluate progress. The milestones should reflect the specific aims of the proposal and be presented within the context of measurable outcomes.		
BENCHMARKS <i>(Should be listed chronologically)</i>	Metrics for Success <i>(Projected end points)</i>	Expected Completion <i>(Specific dates or months into project)</i>